

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Resolution ALJ 176-3072
Administrative Law Judge Division
October 2, 2001

R E S O L U T I O N

RESOLUTION ALJ 176-3072. Ratification of preliminary determinations of category for proceedings initiated by application. The preliminary determinations are pursuant to Article 2.5, Rules 4, and 6.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. (See also Rule 63.2(c) regarding notice of assignment.)

The Commission's rules and procedures which implement the requirements of Senate Bill (SB) 960 (Leonard, ch. 96-0856) are, for the most part, found in Article 2.5 of our Rules of Practice and Procedure. The rules and procedures were adopted by the Commission in D.97-11-021, which describes more fully the background to the development of these rules. Rule 4 describes the formal proceedings to which the SB 960 rules (Article 2.5) apply. Rule 6.1 requires the Commission to preliminarily determine a proceeding's category, whether the proceeding requires a hearing, and designate an Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge. Rule 6.1(a) states that the preliminary determination of category is not appealable but shall be confirmed or changed by Assigned Commissioner's ruling. Unless and until a preliminary determination is changed by such ruling, the preliminary determination of category governs the applicability of the other reforms that SB 960 requires. Rule 63.2 provides for petitioning the Commission to reassign a proceeding to another administrative law judge. Rule 63.2(c) establishes the time for filing such a petition. For purposes of Rule 63.2(c), notice of the assignment is the day the assignments associated with this preliminary categorization document appear in the Daily Calendar following the Commission business meeting.

The Categories

SB 960 makes sweeping changes in many aspects of the Commission's practices in an effort to improve the quality and timeliness of Commission decision making. It creates three categories of proceedings: adjudicatory, ratesetting, and quasi-legislative. The

applicability of many of the changes it requires depends upon the category assigned to the proceeding. For example, the ex parte rules which apply differ if the proceeding is categorized as adjudicatory rather than quasi-legislative. The Legislature defined each of these procedural categories in Section 7 of SB 960. Consistent with these definitions, the rules provide that:

“‘Adjudicatory’ proceedings are: (1) enforcement investigations into possible violations of any provision of statutory law or order or rule of the Commission; and (2) complaints against regulated entities, including those complaints that challenge the accuracy of a bill, but excluding those complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future.

“‘Ratesetting’ proceedings are proceedings in which the Commission sets or investigates rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities), or establishes a mechanism that in turn sets the rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities). ‘Ratesetting’ proceedings include complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future. For purposes of this Article, other proceedings may be categorized as ratesetting as described in Rule 6.1(c).

“‘Quasi-legislative’ proceedings are proceedings that establish policy or rules (including generic ratemaking policy or rules) affecting a class of regulated entities, including those proceedings in which the Commission investigates rates or practices for an entire regulated industry or class of entities within the industry.” (Rules 5(b), 5(c), and 5(d).)

Mixed or Unclear Category Proceedings

For a proceeding that may fall into more than one category, the rules allow parties to recommend that the Commission pick the most suitable category, or to recommend dividing the subject matter of the proceeding into different phases or one or more new proceedings, each with its own category. The rules provide that a proceeding that does not clearly fit into *any* of SB 960’s defined categories will be conducted under the rules applicable to the ratesetting category. As such a proceeding matures, the Commission may determine that the rules applicable to one of the other categories, or some hybrid of those rules, would be better suited to the proceeding.

As stated in D.97-06-071, ratesetting proceedings typically involve a mix of policymaking and factfinding relating to a particular public utility. Because proceedings that do not clearly fall within the adjudicatory or quasi-legislative categories likewise typically involve a mix of policymaking and factfinding, the ratesetting procedures are, in general, preferable for those proceedings.

ALJ/hkr

Next Steps

As stated above, this preliminary determination of category is not appealable. Once interested parties have had an opportunity to respond to the initiating party's proposed category, the preliminary determination shall be confirmed or changed by Assigned Commissioner's Ruling pursuant to Rule 6(a)(3). This Assigned Commissioner Ruling may be appealed to the full Commission pursuant to Rule 6.4(a). Parties have 10 days after the ruling is mailed to appeal. Responses to the appeal are allowed under Rule 6.4(b), and must be filed and served not later than 15 days after the ruling is mailed. The full Commission will consider the appeal.

Any party, or person or entity declaring an intention to become a party is entitled to petition for reassignment of the proceeding to another Administrative Law Judge, as described in Rule 63.2. Such a petition must be filed no later than 10 days after notice of the assignment. For purposes of Rule 63.2(c), notice of the assignment is the day the assignments associated with this preliminary categorization document appear in the Daily Calendar following the Commission business meeting.

Conclusion

The Commission has reviewed the initial pleading of the utility applicants listed in the attached schedule and has made a preliminary determination of category and need for hearing, consistent with the requirements and definitions of Article 2.5 of its rules.

IT IS ORDERED that each proceeding listed in the attached schedule is preliminarily categorized, and the need for a hearing is noted.

ALJ/hkr

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed, and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on October 2, 2001, the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

/s/ WESLEY M. FRANKLIN

WESLEY M. FRANKLIN
Executive Director

LORETTA M. LYNCH
President

HENRY M. DUQUE
RICHARD A. BILAS
CARL W. WOOD
GEOFFREY F. BROWN
Commissioners

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULE

Resolution ALJ 176-3072 (10/02/01)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
A01-08-046 UFLAND, BARBARA ANNE, dba KIDS XPRESS TRANSPORTATION SERVICE, for Authority to Operate a Local Passenger Stage Service in the City of Vallejo, County of Solano, State of California; and to Establish a Zone of Rate Freedom [ZORF]	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A01-09-021 CITY OF AMERICAN CANYON, for Approval to Construct a Public At Grade Crossing of the California Northern Railroad Track, located in Napa County, State of California	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A01-09-022 PACIFIC ENERGY GROUP LLC, PACIFIC PIPELINE SYSTEM LLC, for an ex parte order approving a change in control pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 854(a)	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A01-09-023 CITY OF BURBANK, for an order Authorizing the City to widen the existing Burbank Boulevard Bridge over MTA Tracks and Lake Street, Bridge No. 53C0198 CPUC No.101 VY-11.37-A (Former CPUC No. B-471.50-A), DOT No. 746786F	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A01-09-024 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY, (U 904-G) for Authority to Revise its Rates Effective January 1, 2003 in its Biennial Cost Allocation Proceeding	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	YES
A01-09-025 KEVIN AND ROBIN BURNS, dba CLASSICAB SHUTTLE, for Authority to Operate as a Scheduled and on-call shuttle service passenger stage corporation between points in the Coachella Valley and Beaumont area, on one end, and the Ontario Airport, John Wayne Airport, Hotels in the Los Angeles Airport Area, Los Angeles International Airport, and other areas as needed in California; and to establish a Zone of Rate Freedom	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A01-09-026 VERIZON CALIFORNIA INC., (U 1002-C) for Approval Pursuant to Section 851 to Transfer Property located at One Verizon Way, Thousand Oaks, to Baxter Healthcare Corporation	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULE

Resolution ALJ 176-3072 (10/02/01)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
A01-09-027 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY, (U 338-E) for Order Approving Proposed Settlement Agreement Regarding QFID 2180 and Authorizing Edison's Recovery of Payments Made Under the Proposed Settlement Agreement Between Edison and the County of Los Angeles	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A01-09-028 SIGMA NETWORKS TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate as a Provider of Facilities-Based and Resale Local Exchange and Interexchange Telecommunications Services within the State of California	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A01-09-029 UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, to Construct an Industrial Drill Track across Levin Avenue, a public street, in the City of Tulare, County of Tulare	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A01-09-030 GLOBAL CREST COMMUNICATIONS, INC., for Registration as an Interexchange Carrier Telephone Corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013	NDIEC Registration Application	Ratesetting	NO